### SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

#### BERGEN VICINAGE

Bergen County Justice Center Room 415 Hackensack, New Jersey 07601-7699 (201) 527-2601 Fax (201) 371-1113


Laura Simoldoni Trial Court Administrator



Kathleen Andes-Stylianou, Esq. Civil Division Manager

May 18, 2018

Glenn A. Grant, J.A.D.
Acting Administrative Director of the Courts
Rules Comments
Hughes Justice Complex, PO Box 037
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0037



Re:

2016-2018 Report of the Supreme Court Committee

on Special Civil Part Practice

### Dear Judge Grant:

The Bergen Vicinage offers this letter in response to the 2016-2018 Report of the Supreme Court Committee on Special Civil Part Practice (hereafter referred to as the Report). Specifically, we are responding to the proposed change to  $\underline{R}$ . 6:6-5.

### Currently, R. 6:6-5 Judgement After Trial; Costs states:

Upon receipt of the verdict of a jury, or upon determination by a judge sitting without a jury, the clerk shall note the judgment on the jacket and it shall take effect forthwith.

The clerk shall thereupon enter the judgment and tax the costs.

The proposed change set forth in the Report seeks to amend  $\underline{R}$ . 6:6-5 to require the proforma entry of taxed costs by the clerk upon entry of judgment by a judge via a trial or otherwise. The proffered rationale in support of this change is to: (1) address inconsistent practices pertaining to the automatic entry of taxed costs; (2) to promote uniformity; and (3) to tax costs not only upon a judge's determination to enter judgment via a trial on the merits, but also in all other instances as well.

In August 2015, the undersigned and division staff met with two members of the Bar to hear comments on this issue, specifically complaints from the Bar regarding the calculations of costs on executions. In addressing this complaint with the Bar, several issues of concern to the Court were discussed including, but not limited to, the following:

(1) the enormous volume of requests made to alter costs;

- (2) requests to alter costs submitted by clerical staff (not an attorney) and without notice to defendant(s);
- (3) requests to alter costs not supported by any documentation submitted by an attorney;
- (4) requests to alter costs being made on Writs of Execution issued several years prior to the current date (in some cases up to 10 years prior);
- (5) the inability of the Automated Court Management System's (ACMS) to maintain a complete record and history of calculations and/or alterations made in post judgment data, including submitting a Magic Ticket, in order to have someone from the AOC, ACMS Methods team assist the vicinage behind the scenes correcting data; and (6) the enormous amount of research required by the clerk's office to investigate alleged
- (6) the enormous amount of research required by the clerk's office to investigate alleged errors in cost calculations as well as the level of job knowledge/skill required to conduct such research.

Typical examples that exemplified the foregoing concerns were reviewed at the meeting. Three of the less complicated examples are summarized below, and attached for your review.

### Example A: Wage

This example illustrates a case where the creditor law firm requested five separate writs of execution (from 2/06 to 2/15). Beginning with the third writ request, the creditor law firm comingles miscellaneous costs with taxed costs. Upon the request for the last writ, the creditor law firm realized it's error and requested the clerk's office to correct same by way of letter. In order to verify this information, the clerk's office was required to research and investigate the calculations on all 5 writs dating back 9 years. This process required retrieving both paper files (pre-JEFIS from storage) and electronic files (JEFIS). Hours were spent by supervisory level staff in the clerk's office to manually re-calculate costs to reconcile a difference of \$5.61 due to an error made by the creditor law firm over the course of 9 years.

#### Example B: Chattel

This example illustrates a case where the creditor law firm requested five separate writs of execution (from 7/07 to 2/14). Beginning with the first writ request, taxed costs of \$9.00 were included. The second writ included the \$9.00 as previous costs plus an additional \$7.00 in taxed costs plus miscellaneous costs of \$4.68 (as indicated by the creditor attorney). Total cumulated costs were \$20.68 (taxed costs plus miscellaneous attorney costs comingled). The third writ included the \$20.68 of previous combined costs plus an additional \$9.00 in taxed costs. Total cumulated combined costs were \$29.68. In July of 2012, the fourth writ included the cumulated cost of \$29.68 plus subsequent costs of \$85.68 (as indicated by the creditor attorney) for total cumulated and combined costs of \$128.36.

In February 2014, the creditor attorney requested a fifth writ that included cumulated costs of \$128.36 plus an additional \$10.00 in taxed costs. A year later, in March 2015, a staff person from the creditor attorney firm appears to direct the clerk's office to make an adjustment to reduce the cumulated costs on the fourth writ from \$128.36 to \$98.68. The staff person from the creditor attorney firm indicates that those costs had been miscalculated such that the \$85.68 actually included the \$29.68 and therefore the

subsequent costs at that time was only \$56.00 (contrary to the submission). Hours were spent by supervisory level staff in the clerk's office to manually re-calculate costs to reconcile the submissions made by the creditor law firm.

#### Example C: Wage

This recent example illustrates that the creditor law firm requested a wage execution via eCourts in 5/17. The creditor law firm submitted their "draft" wage and it was processed as directed by the law firm.

In April 2018, the creditor attorney by way of correspondence submitted an "amended" certification of amount due in support of execution against earnings" with taxed cost amounts hand written next to crossed out amounts indicating the requested changes to be made on an amended wage execution. Neither the correspondence or the certification are initialed/signed by the attorney. Also, no explanation for same is provided. This is another example of clerical staff from the firm instructing judiciary clerical staff to alter records with little to no oversight.

At the conclusion of the August 2015 meeting, the undersigned was not persuaded by the Bar's suggestion that entry of costs (taxed or otherwise) was merely *pro forma*. Nor were we confident in the ability of the clerk's office to easily and automatically assess costs based on current ACMS programming deficiencies. In addition, concerns were expressed regarding submissions/instructions made directly by/between clerical staff in creditor law firms and clerical staff in the clerk's office to mechanically alter calculations on writs issued years and years prior with little to no oversight. The administrative level work for the clerk's office to provide such oversight and to research miscalculations made by creditor law firms on writs issued years ago was beyond a simple ministerial or clerical task.

In light of the foregoing, the undersigned recommended the Bar coordinate efforts with Automated Trial Court Services Unit (ATCSU) at the Administrative Offices of the Court (AOC) to enhance programing so that costs are, in fact, automatically and accurately accessed by ACMS without need for the clerk's office to evaluate and/or calculate this data.

In addition, we required submission of services for fees and costs consistent with  $\underline{R}$ . 4:42 et al. until further notice and such time that the requisite ACMS programming can be accomplished. It is well established that Part V Rules are applicable to the Special Civil Part per  $\underline{R}$ . 6-6:1. Moreover, in light of the evidence regarding the difficulties with costs (taxed or otherwise), the undersigned communicated our preference in relying upon an affidavit of services for fees and costs as submitted by a licensed attorney. The undersigned determined that it was appropriate for the clerk's office to have access to this affidavit when inputting data relating to costs, taxed or otherwise, and that same established a maintainable transparent record that ACMS is otherwise unable to provide.

In 2016, the Bergen Vicinage piloted eCourts in the Special Civil Part. eCourts appears to have the ability to address issues relating to writ calculations although currently the

post judgment platform has not been fully developed and eCourts continues to rely upon ACMS programming. Respectfully, the proposed change to <u>R</u>. 6:6-5 will place an unreasonable burden upon Vicinage staff in the short term, and, in the long term will likely be rendered meaningless once eCourts is fully developed. <u>R</u>. 4:42 specifically allows for an affidavit of services for fees and costs and can serve in furtherance of the Court's obligation to parties. In our view, it is unreasonable to place this burden on the clerk's office. The tasks are not "pro forma" or perfunctory.

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully suggested that no action be taken on the proposed change to  $\underline{R}$ . 6: 6-5. In the alternative, it is respectfully suggested that the proposed change to  $\underline{R}$ . 6:6-5 be clarified so that same in no way restricts a determination by the Court to require an affidavit of services for fees and costs, taxed or otherwise, as is done in all other areas of practice.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide a response to the 2016-2018 Report of the Supreme Court Committee on Special Civil Part Practice.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert L. Politroni, P.J.Cv.

c: Hon. Bonnie J. Mizdol, A.J.S.C Laura Simoldoni, TCA Kathleen Andes-Stylianou, CDM Vincenza Bruno, ADM

## ATTORNEY INFORMATION HAS BEEN REDACTED FROM ATTACHMENTS

BERGEN Special Civil Part 430 JUSTICE CENTER 10 MAIN STREET HACKENSACK, NJ 07601 LESTENAMES WILLIAM MIXE 12-1-14

Re:

Superior Court of New Jersey: Law Division BERGEN Special Civil Part Docket Number

#### Dear Clerk:

It appears that the Wage Execution that you were issued on November 30, 2014, does not match our request. We had forwarded the execution with the amount of \$619.40, however, you issued the execution for \$613.23.

The misc cost should be \$5.61 not \$0.00

Please make corrections and issue an amended writ to your court officer and/or county. Please advise our office of your new execution total once the corrections have been made.

TVISION

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY: LAW DIVISION
BERGEN SPECIAL CIVIL PART
DOCKET # DC-

Acct Ref No

CERTIFICATION OF AMOUNT DUE
IN SUPPORT OF
EXECUTION AGAINST EARNINGS
PURSUANT TO 15 U.S.C. 1673
AND N.J.S.A. 2A:17-56

Plaintiff vs.

Designated Defendant,

Name and Address of Employer Ordered to make Deductions:

EMP AT/CORP HQ:

Judgment Date	01/20/06	•
Judgment Award\$	1,254.16	
Court Costs & State Atty. Fees\$	78.08	
Total Judgment Amount\$	1,332.24	•
Interest From Prior Writs\$	170.23	
		•
Costs From Prior Writs\$	. 33.30	
Subtotal A\$	1,535.77	•
Credits From Prior Writs\$	1,014.46	
Subtotal B\$	521.31	
New Miscellaneous Costs\$	0.00	•
New Interest on this Writ\$	1.17	
New Credits On This Writ\$	0.00 /	5 5 27
Execution Fees & Mileage\$	40.61	Fee 35,00 /
Subtotal C\$	563.09	
Court Officer Fee\$	56.31	MISC 5.61
Total Due this Date\$	619.40	

Please issue to Court Officer, BERGEN County:

I CERTIFY that the foregoing statments made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Plaintiff's Attorney

Dated 12/01/14

PURPOSE.



ACMS Public Access: SCP Judgment Execution/Result Detail



SECURITY NOT ALLOWED FOR SCREEN

CASE TITLE: CREDITOR :

DEBTOR

AMT DUE:

JUDGMENT NUMBER: 7

WRIT NO:

IMPOUNDED:

EAULLI-CREDITOR: N MULTI-/ MULTI-DEBTOR: N SERVICE: N

JUDGMENT AMT:

1332.24

239.70 CREDITS: 1014.46 NET AMOUNT DUE: \*\*\*\*\*\* EXECUTION RESULT \*\*\*\* ASSIGNED: 12 04 2014

CRT OFFICER ID: MXG STATUS: A DATE: 00 00 0000 JDG RESULT AMT:

ISSUED: 12 04 2014

JDG COLL:

EXP DATE: 12 04 2016 OFR COLL: OFFR COMM AMT;

HOLD DISB:

COMMENTS: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* E X E C U T I O N D A T A \*\*\*\*\*

JUDGMENT AMT : 1332.24 MUNICIPALITY: EMPL PERCENT; N RETURN: 33.30 MILEAGE FEE:

PRV INTEREST: 170.23 TOT INTEREST: 171.40 TOT CREDITS:

NEW INTEREST:

1.17 BAD CK: 00

PREV COSTS : PREV CREDITS:

1014.46 OFFICER FEE:

2.00 NEW CREDITS : 33.00 COURT COSTS:

JDG SUBTOTAL: EXEC TOTAL :

521.31 CNTY/STATE : 613.23 COMMISSION:

55.75 MISC COSTS

Screen ID;CVM0009 Copyrighted @ 2012 - New Jersey Judiciary Session ID: WXVE2G Case Count: 1

BUILD 2012.1.0.02.09 Timer Count down: 299

should be \$5,61

### EXAMPLE A

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY: LAW DIVISION
BERGEN SPECIAL CIVIL PART
DOCKET # DC-

Acct # Ref No

CERTIFICATION OF AMOUNT DUE IN SUPPORT OF EXECUTION AGAINST EARNINGS PURSUANT TO 15 U.S.C. 1673 AND N.J.S.A. 2A:17-56

Plaintiff

vs.

Designated Defendant,

Name and Address of Employer Ordered to make Deductions;

40.61 - COE culturated 35.00 - EXE

EMP AT/CORP HQ:

Judgment Date\$	01/20/06 1,254.16	
Court Costs & State Atty. Fees\$	78.08	
Total Judgment Amount\$	1,332.24	additional \$5.61
Interest From Prior Writs\$	170.23	
Costs From Prior Writs\$	33.30 1,535.77	I Q.CE
Subtotal A\$  Credits From Prior Writs\$	1,014.46	
Subtotal B\$		
New Miscellaneous Costs\$	$\frac{521.31}{0.00}$ - 5.61	•
New Interest on this Writ\$	1.17	
New Credits On This Writ\$	0.00 7 35.00	Fee 35,00
Execution Fees & Mileage\$ Subtotal C\$	563:09	
Court Officer Fee\$	5.6.31	nusc 5.61
Total Due this Date\$	619.40	
	'. ' <u>'</u>	<del></del>

Please issue to Court Officer, BERGEN County:

I CERTIFY that the foregoing statments made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Plaintiff's Attorney

Dated 12/01/14

PURPOSE.

SUPPLIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION, SPECIAL CIVIL PART RERGEN COUNTY

: Docket No. : BER DC-

ORDER AND EXECUTION AGAINST EARNINGS FURSUANT TO 15 U.S. C. 1673 & N. J. S. A. 28:17-54

Judgment No.: BER Unit Humber: 004 Issued 12/04/2014

Wame and Address of Employer Ordered to Make Deductions:

Unless the designated defendant is currently subject to withholding under another wage execution, the employer is ordered to deduct from earnings which the designated defendant receives and to pay over to the Court Officer named below, the lesser of the following: (a) 10% of the gross meetly pay; or (b) 25% of disposable earnings for that meeks or (c) the amount. if any. by which the designated defendant's disposable weekly earnings exceed \$217.50 per week, until the total amount due has been deducted or the complete termination of employment. Upon either of these events, an immediate accounting is to be made to the Court Officer. Disposable earnings are defined as that portion of the earnings remaining after the deduction from gross earnings of any amounts required by law to be withheld. In the event the disposable earnings so defined are 217.50 or less, no amount shall be withheld under this execution. In no event shall more than 10% of gross salary be withheld and only one execution against the wages of the designated defendant shall be satisfied at a time.

The employer shall inmediately give the designated defendant a copy of this order. The designated defendant may object to the mage execution or apply for a reduction in the amount withheld at any time. To object or apply for a reduction, a written statement of the objection or reasons for a reduction must be filed with the Clerk of the Court and a copy must be sent to the creditor's attorney or directly to the creditor if there is no attorney. A hearing will be held within 7 days after filing the objection or application for a reduction. According to law. no employer may terminate an employee because of a garnishment

Judgeent Date	01/20/2008
Judgment Amera	\$1,254,16
Court Costs & Stat Atty Fees	\$78.08
Total Judgment Amount	\$1.9UE.24
Interest from Frior Writs	\$170.23
Costs from Prior Writs	933. 30
Subtotal A	\$1,535.77
Credits from Frior Writs	\$1,014.46
Subtotal F	1521.31 3.00
Hew Miscellaneous Costs	5.00 51.17
Hem Interest on this Writ	\$1.17 \$.00
Hes Credits on this Urit	\$35.00 \$35.00
Execution Fees & Hileage	≉557.48
Subtotal C	₹55.75
Court Officer Fee	\$413.23
Total Due 12/04/2014	

KATHLEEN STYLIAHOU. CLERK OF THE SPECIAL CIVIL PART

Make payments at least monthly to Court Officer as set forth: MICHAEL X. GUERRA, (Court Officer)

I RETURN this execution to the court (V) Ussatisfied ( ) Partly Satisfied ( ) Satisfied

Plaintiffs Attorney and Address:

Amount Collected....

Fee Deducted....

Amount Bue to Atay

D

... EXAMPLE B

C ODY

Chattel #4

PLEASE GIVE THIS COPY TO THE COUNT OFFICER
TO WHOM THE WRIT IS BEING ASSIGNED
ALONG WITH THE WRIT

ACCT NO

REF #
STATEMENT OF AMOUNT DUE

EXECUTION AGAINST GOODS AND CHATTELS

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY: LAW DIVISION
BERGEN SPECIAL CIVIL PART

DOCKET # DC-

Plaintiff

vs. Designated Defendant,

2

WIT TO THE RXS

TO:

COURT OFFICER OF THE SPECIAL CIVIL PART

Judgment Date..... 03/27/07 Judgment Amount.....\$ 3,340.79 Costs & Atty Fees.....\$ 147.82 Subsequent Costs.....\$  $\bigcirc 85.68$ Total......\$ 3,574,29 Credits, if any.....\$ 1090.91 Subtotal A....\$ 2,483.38 Previous Interest, if any......\$ 457.77 Subsequent Interest.....\$ 60.78 Interest.....\$ 518.55 Execution Cost & Mileage.....\$ 13.00 Subtotal B.....\$ 3,014.93 Court Officer Fee.....\$ 301.49 (10% of the balance) Total due this date.....\$ 3,316.42

Dated: 07/13/12

Property to be Levied Upon and

Location of Same:

LEVY AT: BANK OF AMERICA

790 PALISADE AVE TEANECK NJ 07666

Also At: PNC BANK

400 FRANK W BURR BLVD

TEANECK NJ 07666

ANY OTHER BANK OR ASSET
ON Also on checking, savings, certificates
of deposit, NOW Accounts, Money Market Accounts,
Christmas Clubs, Safe Deposit Boxes, and all
other accounts of any description.

### EXAMPLE (B)




ACMS SCREEN





SECURITY NOT ALLOWED FOR SCREEN



Screen ID:CVM0009 Copyrighted © 2012 - New Jersey Judiciary
Session ID: QASQP5 Case Count: 4
BUILD 2012.1.0.02.09 Timer Count down: 299

shoud be \$ 56.00

EXAMPLE

Chattel #5

PLEASE GIVE THIS COPY THE COURT OFFICER TO WHOM THE WRIT IS BEING ASSIGNED ALONG WITH THE WRIT.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY: LAW DIVISION

STATEMENT OF AMOUNT DUE

SPECIAL CIVIL PART BERGEN

EXECUTION AGAINST GOODS AND CHATTELS


DC-DOCKET #

Plaintiff

Vs. Designated Defendant,

ROBERT SOLODARE P.O. BOX 270 CHATHAM, NJ 07928 TO: COURT OFFICER OF THE SPECIAL CIVIL PART UNION 03/27/07 Judgment Date...... 3,340.79 Judgment Award.....\$ Court Costs & State Atty. Fees.....\$ 147.82 Total Judgment Amount.....\$ 3,488.61 518.55 Interest From Prior Writs.....\$ 42.68 Costs From Prior Writs.....\$ Subtotal A.....\$ 4,049.84 Credits From Prior Writs.....\$ 1,090.91 \$ 98.68 2,958.93 Subtotal B.....\$ 56,00 -New Miscellaneous Costs.....\$ New Interest on this Writ.....\$ 15.32 98,68 0.00 New Credits On This Writ.....\$ 0.00 \_10.00 Execution Fees & Mileage,.....\$ new 3,040.25 Subtotal C....\$ 304.03 Court Officer Fee.....\$ 3,344.28 Total Due this Date....\$ Dated: 02/03/14 Property to be Levied Upon and Location of Same: AT: All personal property, including any On: motor vehicles and forward inventory to this office. Advise date and time of In addition, you are hereby instructed to make a constructive Levy upon a 🗬

After levy immediately send CO55 to Motor Vehicle and send a copy to us.







SECURITY NOT ALLOWED FOR SCREEN

CASE TITLE: IMPOUNDED; MULTI-CREDITOR: N MULTI-CREDITOR MULTI-DEBTOR: N SERVICE: N DEBTOR JUDGMENT NUMBER: WRIT NO: JUDGMENT AMT: 3488.61 3069.93 NET AMOUNT DUE; 672,23 CREDITS: 1090,91 DEBITS: \*\*\*\*\* EXECUTION RESULT \*\*\*\* ASSIGNED: 02 12 2014 CRT OFFICER ID: RKS STATUS: A DATE: 00 00 0000 JDG RESULT AMT: EXP DATE: 02 06 2016 OFFR COMM AMT: ISSUED: 02 06 2014 OFR COLL: OVERPAID: AMT DUE: JDG COLL: HOLD DISB: COMMENTS: \*\*\*\*\*\* EXECUTION DATA JUDGMENT AMT : 3488.61 MUNICIPALITY: EMPL PERCENT: N RETURN: PRV INTEREST: 518.55 TOT INTEREST: 533.87 TOT CREDITS: 128.36 MILEAGE FEE; 1090.91 OFFICER FEE: 15,32 BAD PREV COSTS ; 5.00 NEW INTEREST: CK: 00 PREV CREDITS: 2,00 NEW CREDITS : JDG SUBTOTAL: 3044.61 CNTY/STATE : COURT COSTS : 3376.92 COMMISSION : 306.99 MISC COSTS 3.00 EXEC TOTAL

Screen ID:CVM0009 Copyrighted © 2012 - New Jersey Judiciary
Session ID: QASQP5 Case Count: 4
BUILD 2012.1.0.02.09 Timer Count down: 299

Should be \$ 98,68

### EXAMPLEB

## Chatte #1

CVM0060	AUTOMATED CASE MANA	AGEMENT SYSTEM	03/22/18
	EXECUTION RECORDIN	IG/MAINTENANCE	', 13 <b>:</b> 56
VENUE ID : BER	COURT : SCP DOCKET	#: DC	1
CASE TITLE:	•		IMPOUNDED:
CREDITOR :		, MULTI-CREDITOR	: N MULTI-
DEBTOR :		MULTI-DEBTOR	: N SERVICE: N
JUDGEMENT NUMBER:	VJ (07 WRIT NO:	01 JUDGMENT AM	r: 3488.61
	.23 CREDITS: 1377	7.01 NET AMOUNT DU	E: 2783.83
******	**** E X E C U T I O 1		SSIGNED: 07 30 2007
CRT OFFICER ID: GX	KR STATUS: U DATE: (	)2 22 2010 JDG RESULT	AMT:
ISSUED: 07 30 2007	EXP DATE: (	7 30 2009 OFFR COMM	<b>AMT:</b>
AMT DUE: 3897.2	26 JDG COLL:	OFR COLL:	OVERPAID:
	229 - NOVEMBER 2010		HOLD DISB:
EXEC TYPE E06 DA	ATE FILED: 07 30 2007		USER-ID: JUBBICO
JDGMENT AMT : √34	188.61 MUNICIPALITY:	/EMPL PERCENT	: N RETURN: R
PRV INTEREST:	TOT INTEREST:	45.35 TOT CREDITS 4.00 NEW INTEREST 2.00 NEW CREDITS 3.00 COURT COSTS	:
PREV COSTS ":	MILEAGE FEE:	n 5 4.00 v NEW INTEREST	: 45.35 BAD
PREV CREDITŜ:	OFFICER FEE: 9	7 2.00 ✓ NEW CREDITS	CK: 00
	100.01 01111/011111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
	397.26 COMMISSION:	354.30 MISC COSTS	
ADDĻ MILEAGE:	ADDL COSTS:	·	NOTICE-Y/N: N
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			nn phohiton munov
			EE-RECEIPT ENTRY FORM REQUEST
PF5-PARTY ENTRY I	PF6-FEE RECPT LIST PI	F9-ADMN VOID PF12-	FORM REQUEST

## Chattel # 2

## EXAMPLE B

CVM0009 AUTOMATED CASE MANA	GEMENT SYSTEM 03/22/18
	NT/RESULT INQUIRY 13:56
VENUE ID .: BER COURT : SCP DOCKET	#: DC
CASE TITLE: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	IMPOUNDED:
CREDITOR :	MULTI-CREDITOR: N MULTI-
DEBTOR :	MULTI-DEBTOR: N SERVICE: N
JUDGEMENT NUMBER: VJ WRIT NO:	3488.61
DEBITS: 672.23 CREDITS: 1377	7.01 NET AMOUNT DUE: → 2783.83
************* E X E C U T I O N	I R E S U L T ***** ASSIGNED: 10 06 2009
ONE OFFICER ED. IGHT PERSON O PROPERTY	2 18 2010 JDG RESULT AMT:
ISSUED: 10 06 2009 EXP DATE: 1	.0 06 2011 OFFR COMM AMT:
AMT DUE: 4197.18 JDG COLL:	OFR COLL: OVERPAID:
COMMENTS:	HOLD DISB:
COMMENTS: ************************************	HOLD DISB:  N D A T A *******************************
COMMENTS: ************************** E X E C U T I C EXEC TYPE E03 DATE FILED: 10 06 2009	HOLD DISB:  N D A T A *******************************
COMMENTS: ************************************	HOLD DISB:  N D A T A ************************  MOD DATE: 05 19 2010 USER-ID: JUDMT6  EMPL PERCENT: N RETURN: R
COMMENTS:  ***********************************	HOLD DISB:  N D A T A *******************************
COMMENTS:  ***********************************	HOLD DISB:  N D A T A *******************************
COMMENTS:  ***********************************	HOLD DISB:  N D A T A *******************************
COMMENTS:  ***********************************	HOLD DISB:  N D A T A *******************************
COMMENTS:  ***********************************	HOLD DISB:  N D A T A *******************************

PF1-PARTY-DOC-LIST PF22-HELP

7 4.68

## EXAMPLE B

# Chatel # 3

CVM0009 AUTOMATED CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM 03/2:	2/18 ·
EXECUTION ASSIGNMENT/RESULT INQUIRY, 13:5	7
VENUE ID : BER, COURT : SCP DOCKET #: DC	•
CASE TITLE: IMPOUN	DED:
CREDITOR: MULTI-CREDITOR: N MULTI-	I-
DEBTOR: MULTI-DEBTOR: N SERV	ICE: N
JUDGEMENT NUMBER: VJ 07 WRIT NO: 900 JUDGMENT AMT: 3	488.61
DEBITS: 672.23 CREDITS: 1377.01 NET AMOUNT DUE: 2	
************ E X E C U T I O N R E S U L T **** ASSIGNED:	05 07 2010
CRT OFFICER ID: EXF STATUS: U DATE: 07 08 2011 JDG RESULT AMT:	
ISSUED: 05 07 2010 EXP DATE: 05 07 2012 OFFR COMM AMT:	
AMT DUE: 4273.67 JDG COLL: OFR COLL: OVERPAID:	
COMMENTS: HOLD DI	
*************** E X E C U T I O N D A T A **********	
EXEC TYPE: E06 DATE FILED: 05 07 2010 MOD DATE: 07 25 2011 USER-ID:	JUKEM3
JDGMENT AMT: 3488.61 MUNICIPALITY: EMPL PERCENT: N RETU	
PRV INTEREST: 397.24 TOT INTEREST: 457.77 TOT CREDITS: 90.	91 .
PREV COSTS : 🗸 20.68 MILEAGE FEE: 5 4.00 NEW INTEREST: 60.	53 BAD
PREV CREDITS: 90.91 OFFICER FEE: / 2.00 NEW CREDITS:	CK: 00
JDG SUBTOTAL: 3815.62 CNTY/STATE : $\P$ $\iota$ 3.00 COURT COSTS :	
EXEC TOTAL: 4273.67 COMMISSION: 388.52 MISC COSTS:	

PF1-PARTY-DOC-LIST PF22-HELP


20.68

## EXAMPLE B

# Challel #4

CVM0009 . AUTOMATED CASE MAN.	AGEMENT SYSTEM " 03/22/18
	ENT/RESULT INOUTRY 13:57
VENUE ID : BER COURT : SCP DOCKET	#: /
CASE TITLE:	IMPOUNDED:
CREDITOR :	MULTI-CREDITOR: N MULTI-
DEBTOR :	MULTI-DEBTOR: N SERVICE: N
JUDGEMENT NUMBER: VJ 34 07 WRIT NO	: 04 JUDGMENT AMT: 3488.61
DEBITS: 672.23 CREDITS: 137	
********* E X E C U T I O	N RESULT **** ASSIGNED: 07 16 2012
CRT OFFICER ID: EXF STATUS: U DATE:	07 07 2014 JDG RESULT AMT:
<del></del>	07 16 2014 OFFR COMM AMT:
AMT DUE: 3349.07 JDG COLL:	OFR COLL: OVERPAID:
COMMENTS:	HOLD DISB:
COMMENTS:	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
******* E X E C U T I	ON DATA *****************
******* E X E C U T I EXEC TYPE: E11 DATE FILED: 07 13 2012	O N D A T A *******************************
******** E X E C U T I EXEC TYPE: E11 DATE FILED: 07 13 2012  JDGMENT AMT: 3488.61 MUNICIPALITY: PRV INTEREST: 457.77 TOT INTEREST:	ON DATA **********************************
************************* E X E C U T I EXEC TYPE: E11 DATE FILED: 07 13 2012 JDGMENT AMT: 3488.61 MUNICIPALITY: PRV INTEREST: 457.77 TOT INTEREST:	ON DATA **********************************
************************** E X E C U T I EXEC TYPE: E11 DATE FILED: 07 13 2012 JDGMENT AMT: 3488.61 MUNICIPALITY: PRV INTEREST: 457.77 TOT INTEREST: PREV COSTS:   *\sum_29'.68 MILEAGE FEE:	ON DATA **********************************
********* E X E C U T I EXEC TYPE: E11 DATE FILED: 07 13 2012  JDGMENT AMT: 3488.61 MUNICIPALITY: PRV INTEREST: 457.77 TOT INTEREST: PREV COSTS: 29.68 MILEAGE FEE: PREV CREDITS: 90.91 OFFICER FEE:	ON DATA **********************************

PF1-PARTY-DOC-LIST PF22-HELP



## EXAMPLE B

# Chattel #5

	CVM0009	AUTOMATED CASE MAN	IAGEMENT SYSTEM	03/22/18
		EXĖCUTION ASSIGNM	ENT/RESULT THOUIRY	13:57
	VENUE ID : BER	COURT : SCP DOCKET	: #: DC	
	CASE TITLE:	,		IMPOUNDED:
	CREDITOR :		MULTI-CREDITO	R: N MULTI-
	DEBTOR :	.:	MULTI-DEBTO	R: N SERVICE: N
	JUDGEMENT NUMBER	R: VJ $/$ 07 WRIT NC	): 05 JUDGMENT A	MT: 3488.61
	DEBITS: 6	72.23 CREDITS: 137	7.01 NET AMOUNT D	UE: 2783.83
	*****	***** E X E C U T I O	N RESULT ****	ASSIGNED: 02 12 2014
	CRT OFFICER ID:	RKS STATUS: P DATE:	06 22 2016 JDG RESUL	T AMT:
	ISSUED: 02 06 20	014 EXP DATE:	02 06 2016 OFFR COM	M AMT:
	AMT DUE: 3045	5.39 JDG COLL: 286.1	.0 OFR COLL: 45.43	OVERPAID:
	COMMENTS:			HOLD DISB:
	*****	***** E X E C U T I	O N D A T A ******	*****
	EXEC TYPE: E12	DATE FILED: 02 04 2014	MOD DATE: 09 07 201	6 USER-ID: JUVWIZO
	JDGMENT AMT :	3488.61 MUNICIPALITY:	EMPL PERCEN	T: N RETURN: R
•	PRV INTEREST:	518.55 TOT INTEREST:	533.87 TOT CREDITS	: 1090.91
	PREV COSTS :	120.50 11111111011 1111.	C 5.00 NEW INTERES	T: 15.32 BAD
	PREV CREDITS:	1090.91 OFFICER FEE:	2.00 NEW CREDITS	: CK: 00
	JDG SUBTOTAL:	3044.61 CNTY/STATE :	COURT COSTS	:
	EXEC TOTAL :	3376.92 COMMISSION:	306.99 MISC COSTS	: 3.00

DE1\_DNDTV\_DOC\_TTST DE22\_HELE

### EXAMPLE C

04/12/18

Clerk, BERGEN Special Civil Part 430 JUSTICE CENTER 10 MAIN STREET HACKENSACK, NJ 07601

Re:

įvs


superior Court of New Jersey: Law Division BERGEN Special Civil Part Docket Number DC-

Attention Execution Clerk: On the 5/19/17 wage execution issued to Officer Piekarsky please change the misc cost from \$30.72 to \$5.72 then form request the corrected writ for your officer. Please advise our office once the correction has been made. Thank you.

Court	Reply	or	Notes:	 				 	<u> </u>
t°	•						g.		
					•				
			_			··			
				·					•




MS Public Access: SCP Judgment Execution/Result Detail





SECURITY NOT ALLOWED FOR SCREEN



Screen ID:CVM0009 Copyrighted @ 2012 - New Jersey Judiciary Session ID: 49VJZ8 Case Count: 1

·BUILD 2017.09.0.02.14 Timer Count down: 279

4/13/2018 11:27:13 AM Pg 2 of 3



T:13 AM Pg 2 of 3

\*\* A MENDED \*\*

STATE OF NEW JERSEY SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY: LAW DIVISION BERGEN SPECIAL CIVIL PART DOCKET # DC-

Acct Ref No

CERTIFICATION OF AMOUNT DUE IN SUPPORT OF EXECUTION AGAINST EARNINGS PURSUANT TO 15 U.S.C. 1673 AND N.J.S.A. 2A:17-56

Plaintiff

vs.

Designated Defendant,

Name and Address of Employer Ordered to make Deductions:

Judgment Date.  Judgment Award.  Court Costs & State Atty. Fees  Total Judgment Amount.  Interest From Prior Writs  Costs From Prior Writs  Subtotal A  Credits From Prior Writs  Subtotal B  New Miscellaneous Costs  New Interest on this Writ  New Credits On This Writ  Execution Fees & Mileage  Subtotal C  Court Officer Fee  Total Due this Date  \$ 5	07/08/10 1,809.92 90.20 1,900.12 44.26 55.00 80.00 1,999.38 2034.38 0.00 1,999.38 2034.38 30.72 12.17 228.00 38.00 1,852.27 185.23 2,037.50
--	--

Please issue to Court Officer, BERGEN County:

I CERTIFY that the foregoing statments made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Dated 05/18/17